OlympusDAO

Security Review

HickupHH3

7 November 2023

Contents

1	Introduction		3
	1.1	Audit Scope	3
	1.2		4
	1.3	Fix Review	4
	1.4	Auditors Involved	4
2	Risk	Assessment Classification	5
3	Findings Summary		
	3.1	[High] Incorrect amount withdrawn from wrappedPayoutToken	8
	3.2	[Info] Consider ensuring reserve.decimals() is equivalent to	
		wrappedReserve.decimals()	9
	3.3	[Info] Use IStaking.sol instead of inline interfaces	9
	3.4	[Gas] Wall and spread checks can be reduced	10
	3.5	[Gas] Variables can be made immutable	12
	3.6	[Gas] Equality case can be shifted	12
	3.7	[Gas] Cache _config.regenObserve	13
	3.8	[Gas] Pre-in/decrements cost less gas compared to post-in/de-	crements
	3.9	[Gas] _regenerate() can be unchecked	15
4	4 Disclaimer		17

1 Introduction

The purpose of this audit is to review 2 incremental changes to the Range-Bound Stability (RBS) mechanism:

- 1. Handling sDAI in TRSRY. Or in general, integration with ERC4626 tokens
- 2. Using asymmetric spreads + minting OHM tokens in heart.beat() + trigger a new rebase.

1.1 Audit Scope

The scope consisted of the rbs-v1-4-asymmetric-with-sDAI branch of the bophades repository at commit hash a95bd5ee0c00f5b45392f1a7600e88a02932a11f. The contracts found in the src folder that were included in scope were the following:

File			
interfaces/IStaking.sol			
modules/RANGE/OlympusRange.sol			
modules/RANGE/RANGE.v2.sol			
policies/BondCallback.sol			
policies/Distributor/Distributor.sol			
policies/Distributor/ZeroDistributor.sol			
policies/Heart.sol			
policies/Operator.sol			
policies/interfaces/IOperator.sol			
policies/interfaces/IHeart.sol			
policies/interfaces/IDistributor.sol			

1.2 Audit Timeline

The audit was conducted from 1st Nov to 6th Nov.

1.3 Fix Review

A review of the fixes was conducted subsequently on **7th Nov**.

1.4 Auditors Involved

HickupHH3

2 Risk Assessment Classification

There are 4 possible levels used to assess a vulnerability, with a separate section for gas optimizations.

High

Directly exploitable vulnerabilities with medium / high likelihood of loss of user funds, or contract functionality.

Resolving these issues are crucial to ensure the security and functionality of the contracts.

Medium

Vulnerabilities that relies on external dependencies / conditions to be met. Potentially leads to a loss of funds or functionality (eg. denial of service).

Resolving these issues are recommended to avoid undesired consequences.

Low

Issues arising from deviant behaviour than expected, but has no / little bearing from a security standpoint.

Informational

Issues that relate to security best practices recommendations, grammatical or styling errors, suggestions for variable/function name improvements etc. These issues are subjective and can be addressed based on the client's discretion.

While these issues may not directly affect the contract's functionality or security, addressing them can improve code readability, maintainability, and overall quality.

Gas Optimizations

Suggested changes to the codebase that will help reduce deployment or runtime gas costs, or to reduce the bytecode size should the limit be reached.

3 Findings Summary

Severity	No. of issues
High	1
Medium	0
Low	0
Informational	2
Gas Optimizations	6
Total	9

3.1 [High] Incorrect amount withdrawn from

wrappedPayoutToken

Context

BondCallback.sol#L210

Details

The amount withdrawn from wrappedPayoutToken uses the number of shares (wrappedOutputAmount) instead of the number of assets (outputAmount_).

There would fewer than expected assets withdrawn.

Impact

The callback caller would receive less tokens than requested.

```
uint256 wrappedOutputAmount =
    wrappedPayoutToken.previewWithdraw(outputAmount_);
TRSRY.withdrawReserves(address(this), wrappedPayoutToken,
    wrappedOutputAmount);
wrappedPayoutToken.withdraw(wrappedOutputAmount, msg.sender, address(this));
```

Mitigation

The correct implementation can be found in Operator.sol#L338-L345.

Response

Fixed in PR#199.

Status

Fixed. The implementation is consistent with Operator.sol.

3.2 [Info] Consider ensuring reserve.decimals() is equivalent to wrappedReserve.decimals()

Context

Operator.sol#L69

Operator.sol#L112

Details

There is a developer comment that states _wrappedReserveDecimals == _reserveDecimals, but this isn't checked on-chain.

Mitigation

Consider checking that $_wrappedReserve.decimals()$ is equal to $_reserveDecimals$ in the constructor.

Response

Using ERC4626 ensures that reserve.decimals() == wrappedReserve.decimals(), but we decided to perform the check just in case. Fixed in PR#199.

Status

Fixed.

3.3 [Info] Use IStaking.sol instead of inline interfaces

Context

IStaking.sol

Distributor.sol#L19-L26

ZeroDistributor.sol#L7-L14

Details

The Distributor and ZeroDistributor defines a simplified IStaking interface with only the unstake() method, while there is a more complete IStaking interface that is used for tests.

Mitigation

To avoid code duplication, consider defining the simple interface in IStaking.sol, then a more complete one with the additional methods that inherit it. The simple one can be imported into the distributor contracts.

Response

Fixed in PR#199.

Status

Fixed.

3.4 [Gas] Wall and spread checks can be reduced

Context

OlympusRange.sol#L31-L38

OlympusRange.sol#L193-L195

Details

The conditions checked are:

- 1. ONE_PERCENT <= cushionSpread_</pre>
- 2. ONE_PERCENT <= wallSpread_</pre>
- 3. cushionSpread_ <= wallSpread_</pre>

By checking (1) and (3), (2) is enforced. The same logic applies to the upper bound check for the low side.

Mitigation

The referenced lines can be converted to the following:

```
if (
    lowSpreads_[0] >= ONE_HUNDRED_PERCENT ||
    lowSpreads_[0] < ONE_PERCENT ||</pre>
    lowSpreads_[1] >= ONE_HUNDRED_PERCENT ||
    lowSpreads_[1] < ONE_PERCENT ||</pre>
    lowSpreads_[0] > lowSpreads_[1] ||
    highSpreads_[0] < ONE_PERCENT ||</pre>
    highSpreads_[1] < ONE_PERCENT ||</pre>
    highSpreads_[0] > highSpreads_[1] ||
) revert RANGE_InvalidParams();
if (
    wallSpread_ < ONE_PERCENT ||</pre>
    cushionSpread_ < ONE_PERCENT ||</pre>
    cushionSpread_ > wallSpread_
) revert RANGE_InvalidParams();
- if (wallSpread_ >= ONE_HUNDRED_PERCENT || cushionSpread_ >=
    ONE_HUNDRED_PERCENT)
+ if (wallSpread_ >= ONE_HUNDRED_PERCENT)
    revert RANGE_InvalidParams();
```

Response

Good catch, this will be useful as we have had to optimize some stuff in operator already due to codesize limits. Fixed in PR#199.

Status

Fixed.

3.5 [Gas] Variables can be made immutable

Context

Heart.sol#L54

ZeroDistributor.sol#L17

Details

The referenced variables are either missing setters, or can be made immutable, depending on the intended behaviour.

Mitigation

Either create setters for the referenced variables, or, for reduced gas costs, declare them as immutable.

Response

Fixed in PR#199.

Status

Fixed. distributor has a setter, while staking is made immutable.

3.6 [Gas] Equality case can be shifted

Context

Heart.sol#L220

Details

In the case where currentTime - nextBeat == duration, the calculated result will be maxReward. Hence, the return value can be directly assigned to maxReward like the strictly greater case.

Mitigation

```
return
- currentTime - nextBeat > duration
+ currentTime - nextBeat >= duration
? maxReward
: (uint256(currentTime - nextBeat) * maxReward) / duration;
```

Response

Fixed in PR#199.

Status

Fixed.

3.7 [Gas] Cache _config.regenObserve

Context

Operator.sol#L580

Operator.sol#L584

Details

As _config is a storage variable, an extra SLOAD can be avoided by caching the value of _config.regenObserve and used in the referenced lines.

Mitigation

```
+ uint32 regenObserve = _config.regenObserve;
```

- _updateRegenOnObservation(_status.low, currentPrice >= target, _config.regenObserve);

```
+ _updateRegenOnObservation(_status.low, currentPrice >= target,
    regenObserve);
```

```
- _updateRegenOnObservation(_status.high, currentPrice <= target,
    _config.regenObserve);
```

Response

Fixed in PR#199.

Status

Fixed.

3.8 [Gas] Pre-in/decrements cost less gas compared to post-in/decrements

Context

Operator.sol#L596

Operator.sol#L601

Mitigation

```
- regen_.count++;
```

```
+ ++regen_.count;
```

```
- regen_.count--;
+ --regen_.count;
```

Response

Fixed in PR#199.

Status

Fixed.

3.9 [Gas] _regenerate() can be unchecked

Context

Operator.sol#L609-L666

Details

Relevant checks such that the subtractions in the _regenerate() function will not overflow. Hence, the function can be wrapped in an unchecked block for greater gas efficiency.

Mitigation

Wrap the function in an unchecked block.

Response

Fixed in PR#199.

Status

Fixed.

4 Disclaimer

The audit report provided reflects a thorough review conducted to the best of my ability. However, it is important to note that the time-boxing nature of the review and available resources may prevent the discovery of all potential security vulnerabilities. As such, this audit does not guarantee the absence of undiscovered vulnerabilities.

Furthermore, please be aware that the security review was conducted on a specific commit of the codebase, as indicated. Any subsequent modifications made to the code will necessitate a new security review to ensure comprehensive coverage.

Note that the contracts used in production and expected deployment values may defer significantly from what was reviewed.

To ensure a robust evaluation of the codebase, it is highly recommended to engage multiple auditors and firms, particularly for large and complex projects. The involvement of multiple perspectives can provide additional insights and potential missed vulnerabilities.

Please consider these factors when assessing the audit report and making decisions related to the security and reliability of the smart contracts. The security review is not an endorsement of the project or its team, and should not be treated as such.